

A Characterization of Similarity Maps Between Euclidean Spaces Related to the Beckman–Quarles Theorem

Jobst Heitzig

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Hannover
Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany
`heitzig@math.uni-hannover.de`

Abbreviated title: Similarity Maps Between Euclidean Spaces

Abstract

It is shown that each continuous transformation h from Euclidean m -space ($m > 1$) into Euclidean n -space that preserves the equality of distances (that is, fulfils the implication $|x - y| = |z - w| \implies |h(x) - h(y)| = |h(z) - h(w)|$) is a similarity map. The case of equal dimensions already follows from the Beckman–Quarles Theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): primary 51F20, secondary 51F25, 15A04.

Keywords: Euclidean n -space, continuous transformation, similarity map

Introduction

In the theory of metric spaces or, more generally, distance sets and distance spaces (cf. [5, 4]), distance equality preserving transformations, that is, those that preserve equations of the form $d(x, y) = d(z, w)$, are far more general than transformations which preserve all distances accurately (*isometries*) or up to a multiplicative constant (*similarity maps*). Using monoid homomorphisms, it shall be shown here that in case of Euclidean spaces of dimension at least two, both classes of maps are in fact the same when continuity is required in addition.

Throughout, G_n will denote the group of motions (=self-isometries) of Euclidean n -space $\mathbb{R}^n$, equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. Double square brackets $\llbracket \dots \rrbracket$ are used to enclose proof details.

A simple fact about distance functions

Although I will apply it only for the case of Euclidean metric, the following lemma states a simple correspondence between distance equality preserving maps and monoid homomorphisms in presence of a very general kind of distance function. Formally, a distance function is here just a map $d : X^2 \rightarrow M$ into some set M , and a transformation $h : (X, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is *distance equality preserving* if and only if the implication $d(x, y) = d(z, w) \implies |h(x) - h(y)| = |h(z) - h(w)|$ holds for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

Lemma 1 *Let $(X, \cdot, 1)$ be a monoid, $n \geq 0$, and d a left translation-invariant distance function on X , that is, with $d(zx, zy) = d(x, y)$. If $h : (X, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a distance equality preserving map, there is a monoid homomorphism $f : (X, \cdot, 1) \rightarrow G_n$ such that $h(x) = f(x)(h(1))$ for all $x \in X$.*

If, moreover, $h[X]$ is not contained in any affine hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^n$, this f is unique.

Proof. Let us first consider the “non-degenerate” case where $h[X]$ is not contained in a hyperplane. Then there are $n + 1$ points $x_0, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that the set $\{h(x_i) : i \in n + 1\}$ is not contained in any hyperplane. For each $x \in X$, there is a unique motion f_x of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $f_x h(x_i) = h(xx_i)$ for all i [Since d is left translation invariant and h is distance equality preserving, $|h(xx_i) - h(xx_j)| = |h(x_i) - h(x_j)|$, hence also $\{h(xx_i) : i \in n + 1\}$ is not contained in a hyperplane. Thus, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there is a unique $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|b - h(xx_i)| = |a - h(x_i)|$ for all i . Put $f_x(a) := b$. In particular, $f_x(h(x_i)) = h(xx_i)$ for all i . Since for all $a, a' \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the distance $|a - a'|$ is a function of the two $(n + 1)$ -tuples of distances $(|a - h(x_i)|)_i$ and $(|a' - h(x_i)|)_i$, f_x is a motion]. Now $h(xy) = f_x(h(y))$ for all $x \in X$ [Since $f_x(h(y))$ is the unique $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|b - h(xx_i)| = |h(y) - h(x_i)|$ for all i , and $h(xy)$ is such a b], in particular $h(x) = f_x(h(1))$, and the map $f : x \mapsto f_x$ is a monoid homomorphism [Since $f_x \circ f_y$ is a motion with $f_x \circ f_y(h(x_i)) = f_x(h(yx_i)) = h(xy x_i)$ for all i , hence it equals f_{xy}].

On the other hand, let $g : x \mapsto g_x$ be another homomorphism with $h(x) = g_x(h(1))$ for all x . Then $g_x(h(x_i)) = g_x \circ g_{x_i}(h(1)) = g_{xx_i}(h(1)) = h(xx_i)$ for all x and i , hence $g_x = f_x$ for all x , that is, $g = f$.

For the degenerate case, let $h' := i^{-1} \circ h$, where i is an isometry between some $\mathbb{R}^k$ (with $k < n$) and the affine hull of $h[X]$. Then $h' : (X, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is distance equality preserving and “non-degenerate”, hence there is a corresponding monoid homomorphism $f' : (X, \cdot, 1) \rightarrow G_k$. Moreover, there is an embedding $g : G_k \rightarrow G_n$ such that $g(m) \circ i = i \circ m$ for all motions m of $\mathbb{R}^k$. Then $f := g \circ f'$ is a homomorphism such that

$$f(x)(h(1)) = (g(f'_x) \circ i)(h'(1)) = (i \circ f'_x)(h'(1)) = i \circ h'(x) = h(x).$$

□

Moreover, it is easily verified that in case of $X = \mathbb{R}^m$, either none or both of h and f are continuous.

The case of a one-dimensional domain

It is well known that each continuous representation of the (additive) group $\mathbb{R}$ by motions of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is of the following form. Let k be a motion of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and s a non-negative integer with $2s \leq n$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, let E_i be the plane spanned by the standard unit vectors e_{2i-1} and e_{2i} , and $\alpha_i > 0$. Moreover, let the vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be orthogonal to all E_i . Then the map $f : x \mapsto f_x$ defined by

$$A_i := \begin{pmatrix} \cos(x\alpha_i) & -\sin(x\alpha_i) \\ \sin(x\alpha_i) & \cos(x\alpha_i) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad k(f_x(a)) := xb + \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{A_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \boxed{A_s} & \\ & & & \boxed{1_{n-2s}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot k(a)$$

is a continuous group homomorphism from $\mathbb{R}$ into G_n , where 1_{n-2s} is a unit matrix of $n - 2s$ dimensions. Each f_x is a composition of rotations in the planes $k^{-1}[E_i]$ with centre $k^{-1}(0)$ and angles $x\alpha_i$, and of a translation perpendicular to all those planes.

Obviously, the continuous distance equality preserving maps from $\mathbb{R}^1$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ are exactly the “generalized helices” $h(x) = f_x(a)$ with f of the form just described and arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The case of a higher-dimensional domain

We will now see that between Euclidean spaces of higher dimension than one, the continuous distance equality preserving maps are already similarity maps.

Lemma 2 *For a function $C : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ of the form*

$$C(r) = (r\lambda)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^s \left(\lambda_k \sqrt{2 - 2\cos(r\kappa_k)} \right)^2$$

with $0 < \kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_s$ and $\lambda_k > 0$, all coefficients κ_k, λ_k are uniquely determined.

Proof. For $n > 0$, the $(4n + 1)$ -st derivative of C fulfils

$$\frac{C^{(4n+1)}(r)}{2\kappa_s^{4n+1}} = \lambda_s^2 \sin(r\kappa_s) + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \lambda_k^2 \left(\frac{\kappa_k}{\kappa_s} \right)^{4n+1} \sin(r\kappa_k) \quad \rightarrow \quad \lambda_s \sin(r\kappa_s)$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, κ_s is the smallest $\mu > 0$ with $C^{(4n+1)}(r) = O(\mu^{4n+1})$ for almost all r , and $\lambda_s^2 = \sup_r \lim C^{(4n+1)}(r)/2\kappa_s^{4n+1}$. Subtracting the s -term, one can now inductively determine all κ_k and λ_k . $\square$

Theorem 1 *The continuous distance equality preserving maps from $\mathbb{R}^m$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $m > 1$ are exactly the similarity maps.*

Proof. Since a map $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($m > 1$) is a similarity if and only if $h|_E$ is one for all affine planes $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, we may assume that $m = 2$. Let $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be continuous and distance equality preserving with $h(0, 0) = z$. From Lemma 1 we know that h is of the form

$$h(x, y) = f_{(x, y)}(z) = \varphi_x(\psi_y(z)) = \psi_y(\varphi_x(z)),$$

where $\varphi : x \mapsto \varphi_x$ and $\psi : y \mapsto \psi_y$ are continuous group homomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}^2$ into G_n . The motions φ_x and ψ_y are of the form

$$\varphi_x(v) = a_x + A_x v \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_y(v) = b_y + B_y v,$$

where A_x and B_y are orthogonal matrices with $A_x B_y = B_y A_x$ [since $a_x + A_x b_y + A_x B_y v = \varphi_x(\psi_y(v)) = \psi_y(\varphi_x(v)) = b_y + B_y a_x + B_y A_x v$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ implies $a_x + A_x b_y = b_y + B_y a_x$, hence $A_x B_y v = B_y A_x v$ for all v , that is, $A_x B_y = B_y A_x$].

Therefore, there is a unitary complex matrix P such that $A'_x := P^{-1} A_x P$ and $B'_y := P^{-1} B_y P$ are (complex) diagonal matrices for all x, y [Choose $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ so that A_ξ and B_η have a minimal number of real eigenvalues among all A_x resp. B_y . The commuting orthogonal matrices A_ξ and B_η have a common diagonalization $P^{-1} A_\xi P, P^{-1} B_\eta P$ with some unitary P . Since for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, the planes of rotation of A_x and B_y are among those of A_ξ and B_η , respectively, every complex eigenvector of A_ξ or B_η is an eigenvector of A_x or B_y , respectively. Hence also A'_x and B'_y are diagonal]. Now $x \mapsto A'_x$ and $y \mapsto B'_y$ are continuous homomorphisms into the group of unitary diagonal matrices, hence there are coefficients $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A'_x = \text{diag}(e^{ix\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{ix\alpha_n}) \quad \text{and} \quad B'_y = \text{diag}(e^{iy\beta_1}, \dots, e^{iy\beta_n}).$$

The map $c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $|x - y| \mapsto |h(x) - h(y)|$ is well-defined since h is distance equality preserving, and fulfils

$$c(r) = |h(0, 0) - h(r \cos \gamma, r \sin \gamma)| = |z - \varphi_{r \cos \gamma}(\psi_{r \sin \gamma}(z))|$$

for all $r \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $\varphi_{r \cos \gamma} \circ \psi_{r \sin \gamma}$ is a motion whose matrix $A_{r \cos \gamma} B_{r \sin \gamma}$ has the complex eigenvalues $e^{ir((\cos \gamma)\alpha_j + (\sin \gamma)\beta_j)}$, $j = 1 \dots n$. Using elementary geometry, we see that

$$c(r)^2 = (r\lambda(\gamma))^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{s(\gamma)} \left(\lambda_k(\gamma) \sqrt{2 - 2 \cos(r\kappa_k(\gamma))} \right)^2 \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $\lambda_k(\gamma) > 0$ and $\kappa_k(\gamma) > 0$ for all k . Indeed, $r\lambda(\gamma)$ is the length of the translational part of $\varphi_{r \cos \gamma} \circ \psi_{r \sin \gamma}$; each $\lambda_k(\gamma)$ is a radius of rotation for some rotational part of $\varphi_{r \cos \gamma} \circ \psi_{r \sin \gamma}$, that is, the distance from z to the affine $(n-2)$ -dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is fixed under that rotation; and $r\kappa_k(\gamma)$ is the corresponding angle of that rotation.

Note that, by definition of the α_j, β_j , each $\kappa_k(\gamma)$ is of the form $|(\cos \gamma)\alpha_j + (\sin \gamma)\beta_j|$ for some j . But because of Lemma 2, the set $K := \{\kappa_k(\gamma) : k = 1 \dots s(\gamma)\}$

can be determined from c and is thus the same for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $\kappa \in K$. Then for each $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, there is $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|(\cos \gamma)\alpha_j + (\sin \gamma)\beta_j| = \kappa$. This is only possible if $\kappa = \alpha_j = \beta_j = 0$ for some j , in contradiction to $\kappa > 0$. Hence K is empty and c is linear, which means that h is a homometry. $\square$

The special case of equal dimensions $n = m$ also follows from the Beckman–Quarles Theorem [1] which says that a map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) with $e(x, y) = 1 \implies ef(x, y) = 1$ is already an isometry. It is not obvious, however, if one of its proof (see, e. g. [8, 9]) or variants (cf. [2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11]) generalizes to the case of arbitrary different dimensions.

References

- [1] F. S. Beckman and D. A. Quarles, Jr., *On isometries of Euclidean spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 810–815. MR 15,335a
- [2] Walter Benz, *Eine Beckman-Quarles-Charakterisierung der Lorentztransformationen des $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Arch. Math. (Basel) **34** (1980), no. 6, 550–559. MR 82h:51022
- [3] ———, *A Beckman-Quarles type theorem for finite Desarguesian planes*, J. Geom. **19** (1982), no. 1, 89–93. MR 85b:51009
- [4] Jobst Heitzig, *Each finite system of T_1 uniformities comes from a single distance structure*, Appl. Gen. Topol. (to appear) **3** (2002).
- [5] ———, *Many familiar categories can be interpreted as categories of generalized metric spaces*, Appl. Categ. Structures (to appear) (2002).
- [6] Herbert Hotje, *A remark on the Beckman/Quarles theorem*, Discrete Math. **174** (1997), no. 1-3, 185–186, Combinatorics (Rome and Montesilvano, 1994). MR 98j:51024
- [7] U. Huckenbeck, *Eine Beckman-Quarles-Aussage für reguläre Metriken von Index ≤ 2* , Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **56** (1986), 15–33. MR 88i:51017
- [8] Hanfried Lenz, *Bemerkungen zum Beckman-Quarles-Problem*, Mitt. Math. Ges. Hamburg **12** (1991), no. 2, 429–446, Mathematische Wissenschaften gestern und heute. 300 Jahre Mathematische Gesellschaft in Hamburg, Teil 2. MR 92j:51021
- [9] June A. Lester, *Distance preserving transformations*, Handbook of incidence geometry, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 921–944. MR 96j:51019
- [10] F. Radó, *Beckman-Quarles type theorems in generalized Minkowski planes over a field*, Proceedings of the national conference on geometry and topology (Piatra Neamț, 1983) (Iași), Univ. Al. I. Cuza, 1984, pp. 15–24. MR 87i:51015
- [11] Angela Vasiu, *Mappings of E_2 into E_3 preserving the unit area of a triangle*, Seminar on geometry (Cluj-Napoca, 1986), Univ. “Babeș-Bolyai”, Cluj, 1986, pp. 51–58. MR 89b:51024